

Effects of nomophobia on anxiety, stress and depression among Saudi medical students in Jeddah, Saudi Arabia

Nusrat Bano¹, Muhammad Anwar Khan², Uzma Asif³, Jennifer de Beer⁴, Hawazen Rawass⁵

Abstract

Objective: To assess the prevalence of depression, anxiety and stress in medical students, and to analyse effects of demographics and nomophobia on depression, anxiety and stress.

Method: The descriptive cross-sectional study was conducted at the College of Medicine, King Saud bin Abdulaziz University for Health Sciences, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia, from April 1 to May 23, 2019, and comprised male and female medical students aged 19-25 years. Data was collected using a demographic information form, the 21-item depression, anxiety and stress scale and the 20-item nomophobia questionnaire. Data was analysed using SPSS 20.

Results: Of the 230 students, 108(47%) were boys and 122 (53%) were girls. The overall mean age was 21.93±1.80 years. Anxiety, depression and stress was reported in 168 (74.6%), 158 (70.2%) and 127 (55.9%) of the students. Extremely severe anxiety, depression and stress were self-reported by 92 (40.9%), 38 (16.8%) and 16 (7.04%) students. There was a significant difference in the distribution of subjects within different levels of anxiety across gender ($p<0.05$). Higher anxiety and stress scores were observed in 78 (33.9%) students with severe nomophobia. Differences in the levels of anxiety and stress with regards to type of residence and nomophobia levels were significant ($p<0.05$).

Conclusion: There was high prevalence of depression, anxiety and stress associated with gender, nomophobia levels and residence type.

Keywords: Depression, Anxiety, Stress, Medical, Nomophobia. (JPMA 71: 854; 2021) DOI: <https://doi.org/10.47391/JPMA.983>

Introduction

Mental health issues in medical students have been widely studied in recent years. These issues are usually manifested as depression, anxiety or stress (DAS) that negatively affect student's wellbeing and academic performance.¹ Studies have shown that lack of social support, poor coping behaviour, older age, ethnicity, belittlement, battling with low grades, curricular structure and portrayal of poor faculty role modelling behaviour are the main causative factors for DAS in medical students.²⁻⁴ Modern psychosocial disorders due to smartphone addiction and excessive technological dependence also contribute to the prevalence of DAS, such as nomophobia.⁵ Nomophobia is a term that was initially coined in 2008, during a survey by the United Kingdom post office and described as "the fear of being out of mobile phone contact".⁶

Previous studies on DAS in Saudi medical students showed a high prevalence associated with academic stress, smoking and major life events.^{7,8} Psychological distress and depression was more frequently observed in female medical students and a strong correlation to physical

problems was also noted.⁷⁻¹¹ Lack of personal interest in medicine, worrisome thoughts regarding future goals and continuous academic assessment also surfaced as key contributing factors of DAS among medical students in Saudi Arabia.¹²

There is a need of further research to endorse such findings. Also, factors like the impact of the constantly evolving learning environment, increasing dependence on technology and a rapidly progressing socio-economic / societal change on the prevalence of already reported DAS among medical students in the region need to be studied. The current study was therefore planned to assess DAS in undergraduate medical students, and to analyse effects of demographics and nomophobia on self-reported perceived DAS levels.

Subjects and Methods

The descriptive cross-sectional study was conducted at the College of Medicine, King Saud bin Abdulaziz University for Health Sciences, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia, from April 1 to May 23, 2019. After approval from the ethics review board of the King Abdullah International Medical Research Centre, the sample size was calculated with 5% margin of error, 95% confidence level with 50% response distribution using formula for known population on Raosoft online sample size calculator.¹³ The sample was raised using convenience sampling technique, and the students were approached individually in their free timings. Those included were male

¹Department of Pharmacology, King Saud Bin Abdulaziz University for Health Sciences, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia; ^{2,3}Department of Medicine, King Saud Bin Abdulaziz University for Health Sciences, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia; ^{4,5}Department of Nursing, King Saud Bin Abdulaziz University for Health Sciences, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia.

Correspondence: Nusrat Bano. e-mail: nusratbano@hotmail.com

and female medical students aged 19-25 years who were enrolled with the college and were willing to participate. Those who did not meet the inclusion criteria were excluded. Data was collected after taking written informed consent from the subjects.

The data-collection tool had three parts. Part 1 comprised six items pertaining to demographic characteristics. Part 2 comprised the 21-item depression, anxiety and stress scale (DASS-21) which is the shorter version of the 42-item DASS-42.¹⁴ There are sub-sets of 7 items in DASS-21 measuring depression, anxiety and stress on a 4-point Likert's scale ranging 0-3; 0 = 'did not apply to me at all', and 3 = 'applied to me very much or most of the time'. The items are scored individually and the total is derived by adding up the individual scores ranging 0-42. Scores obtained on the DASS-21 are multiplied by 2 to calculate the final score which is then interpreted. Depression is considered normal at 0-9; mild 10-13; moderate 14-20; severe 21-27; and very severe 28+. Anxiety is considered normal 0-7; mild 8-9; moderate 10-14; severe 15-19; and very severe (20+). Stress is considered normal 0-14; mild 15-18; moderate 19-25; severe 26-33; and very severe 34+.¹⁴

Part 3 comprised the 20-item nomophobia questionnaire

Table-1: Differences in mean scores of depression, anxiety and stress based on participants' characteristics.

Variables	n (%)	Depression			Anxiety			Stress			
		Mean±S.D	Ta/Fb	p-value	Mean±S.D	t*/F**	p-value	Mean±S.D	t*/F**	p-value	
Gender	Male	108 (47)	17.4±9.81	1.753 ^a	0.081	16.5±9.03	0.829 ^a	0.408	18±8.77	0.713 ^a	0.477
	Female	122 (53)	14.9±11.13			15.3±11.27			17.1±10.68		
Residence type	Urban	207 (90.1)	15.5±10.37	-0.666 ^a	0.506	14.8±10.14	-2.76 ^a	0.006	16.4±3.77	-4.17 ^a	0.001
	Rural	23 (9.9)	17.1±11.12			21.2±10.70			23.4±7.05		
Driving status	Yes	78 (34.1)	16.4±10.52	0.299 ^a	0.766	14.3±10.18	-1.61 ^a	0.109	16.6±10.02	-0.858 ^a	0.392
	No	152 (65.9)	15.9±10.70			16.6±10.35			17.8±9.85		
Academic level	Pre-clinical phase	118 (51.7)	15.8±9.23	-0.186 ^a	0.853	15.6±9.59	-0.311 ^a	0.756	17.1±8.96	-0.537 ^a	0.592
	Clinical phase	112 (48.3)	16.1±11.83			16±10.96			17.8±10.75		
Social status	Single	214 (93.4)	16.2±10.69	1.308 ^b	0.273	15.7±10.33	0.735 ^b	0.481	17.4±9.99	0.371 ^b	0.690
	Married	16 (6.6)	14.4±9.09			18.3±10.78			17.6±8.81		
	Others		32.0			24.0			26.0		
Nomophobia	Mild	39 (17)	16.9±9.11	1.830 ^b	0.163	16.2±9.78	6.336 ^b	0.002	15.7±8.66	6.04 ^b	0.003
	Moderate	113 (49.1)	14.7±10.23			13.6±9.42			15.9±9.65		
	Severe	78 (33.9)	17.5±11.57			18.9±11.04			20.5±10.12		

^aIndependent t-test; ^bANOVA test; SD: Standard deviation

Table-2: Severity of depression, anxiety and stress across gender.

		Normal	Mild	Moderate	Severe	Extremely Severe	Total	p-value
Stress	Male	43(41%)	18(17.1%)	22(21%)	15(14.3%)	7(6.7)	105	0.241
	Female	57(46.7%)	9(7.4%)	25(20.5%)	22(18%)	9(7.4%)	122	
	Total	100	27	47	37	16	227	
Anxiety	Male	17(16.5)	11(10.7%)	19(18.4%)	13(12.6%)	43(41.7%)	103	0.03
	Female	40(32.8%)	5(4.1%)	16(13.1%)	12(9.8%)	49(40.2%)	122	
	Total	57	16	35	25	92	225	
Depression	Male	22(21.4%)	18(17.5%)	28(27.2%)	18(17.5)	17(16.5%)	103	0.104
	Female	45(36.9%)	17(13.9%)	26(21.3%)	13(10.7%)	21(17.2%)	122	
	Total	67	35	54	31	38	225	

(NMP-Q) scored on a 7-point Likert scale, where 1 = 'strongly disagree' and 7 = 'strongly agree'.¹⁵ Total score ranges from 20-140. The interpretation cut-off points are; absence of nomophobia up to 20; mild nomophobia 21-59; moderate nomophobia 60-99; and severe nomophobia 100-140. Cronbach's alpha value of NMP-Q is 0.945.¹⁵ Both the tools were translated into Arabic and pretested on a sample of 30 subjects. They showed good internal consistency with Cronbach's alpha value of 0.942 for NMP-Q and 0.945 for DASS-21.

Data was analysed using SPSS 20. Kolmogorov-Smirnov test showed that the data was normally distributed. Descriptive statistics were used, and categorical variable were expressed as frequencies and percentages. Comparative differences in the frequency within different DAS levels in male and female medical students were analysed using chi-square test. Independent sample t-test and analysis of variance (ANOVA) were used to analyse comparative differences in DAS scores in groups of students categorised on the basis of demographic features.

Results

Of the 230 students, 108(47%) were boys and 122 (53%) were girls. The overall mean age was 21.93±1.80 years.

Table-3: Differences in mean scores of depression, anxiety and stress scale-21 (DASS-21) items related to gender and levels of nomophobia.

DASS-21 declarative statements	Gender	Mean±SD	p-value	Levels of nomophobia		
					Mean±SD	p-value
I found it hard to wind down (a)	Male	1.7±0.72	0.001	Mild/Moderate	1.2±0.86	0.15
	Female	1.1±0.99		Severe	1.4±1.06	
I was aware of dryness of my mouth (b)	Male	1.9±0.77	0.001	Mild/Moderate	1.4±1.02	0.18
	Female	1.3±1.15		Severe	1.6±1.12	
I couldn't seem to experience any positive feeling at all (c)	Male	1.7±0.77	0.001	Mild/Moderate	1.2±0.89	0.32
	Female	1±0.97		Severe	1.4±1.06	
I experienced breathing difficulty (e.g. excessively rapid breathing, breathlessness in the absence of physical exertion (b)	Male	1.7±0.81	0.001	Mild/Moderate	1.2±1	0.74
	Female	1±1		Severe	1.2	
I found it difficult to work up the initiative to do things (c)	Male	1.9±0.78	0.001	Mild/Moderate	1.7±0.91	0.92
	Female	1.5±0.96		Severe	1.7±0.95	
I tended to over-react to situations (a)	Male	1.7±0.76	0.003	Mild/Moderate	1.4±1.29	0.15
	Female	1.3±1.34		Severe	1.6±0.91	
I experienced trembling (e.g. in the hands) (b)	Male	1.7±0.73	0.001	Mild/Moderate	1.2±0.96	0.28
	Female	1±1.02		Severe	1.3±1	
I felt that I was using a lot of nervous energy (a)	Male	1.8±0.77	0.001	Mild/Moderate	1.4±1.02	0.04
	Female	1.4±1.15		Severe	1.7±1.05	
I was worried about situations in which I might panic and make a fool of myself (b)	Male	1.9±0.75	0.001	Mild/Moderate	1.4±1.06	0.37
	Female	1.2±1.12		Severe	1.6±1.02	
I felt that I had nothing to look forward to (c)	Male	1.8±0.88	0.001	Mild/Moderate	1.3±1.08	0.94
	Female	1±1.11		Severe	1.3±1.11	
I found myself getting agitated (a)	Male	1.6±0.73	0.053	Mild/Moderate	1.5±0.91	0.54
	Female	1.4±1.04		Severe	1.4±0.98	
I found it difficult to relax (a)	Male	1.7±0.78	0.001	Mild/Moderate	1.3±0.98	0.24
	Female	1.2±1.07		Severe	1.5±1.01	
I felt down-hearted and blue (c)	Male	1.8±0.8	0.001	Mild/Moderate	1.4±1.01	0.62
	Female	1.2±1.11		Severe	1.5±1.11	
I was intolerant of anything that kept me from getting on with what I was doing (a)	Male	1.7±0.79	0.001	Mild/Moderate	1.4±0.99	0.99
	Female	1.2±1.03		Severe	1.4±0.95	
I felt I was close to panic (b)	Male	1.7±0.78	0.001	Mild/Moderate	1.2±0.98	0.20
	Female	1.1±1.04		Severe	1.4±1	
I was unable to become enthusiastic about anything (c)	Male	1.7±0.8	0.001	Mild/Moderate	1.3±0.98	0.91
	Female	1±1.03		Severe	1.3±1.06	
I felt I wasn't worth much as a person (c)	Male	1.5±0.77	0.001	Mild/Moderate	1.1±1.03	0.94
	Female	0.9±1.1		Severe	1.1±1.08	
I felt that I was rather touchy (a)	Male	1.6±0.73	0.009	Mild/Moderate	1.3±0.94	0.35
	Female	1.2±1.07		Severe	1.4±1.02	
I was aware of the action of my heart in absence of physical exertion (.sense of heart rate increase, heart missing a beat) (b)	Male	1.6±0.77	0.001	Mild/Moderate	1.3±0.98	0.61
	Female	1.1±1.12		Severe	1.4	
I felt scared without any good reason (b)	Male	1.5±0.77	0.001	Mild/Moderate	1.2±0.99	0.31
	Female	1±1.09		Severe	1.3±1.04	
I felt that life was meaningless (c)	Male	1.7±0.86	0.001	Mild/Moderate	1.2±1.06	0.61
	Female	0.9±1.11		Severe	1.2±1.14	

SD Standard deviation; a: Stress b: Anxiety c: Depression.

There was no relation of demographic features with depression ($p>0.05$), while type of residence and nomophobia had significant association with anxiety and stress ($p<0.05$) (Table 1).

In terms of gender, there were no significant differences related to depression and stress ($p>0.05$), but in terms of anxiety, 40(32.8%) female students were normal compared

to 17(16.5%) male students ($p<0.05$) (Table 2).

Mean values of DASS-21 and NMP-Q were also compared along gender lines (Table 3).

Discussion

The results showed that 67 (29.8%) participants did not have depression, but 'extremely severe depression' was

noted in 38(16.9%). Compared to a previous study in Saudi medical students reporting 45% mild to moderate depression,¹⁶ the prevalence rate of depression in the current study 158 (70.2%) was alarmingly high. However, another study across different medical colleges in Saudi Arabia reported even higher prevalence rate of depression at 66.6% in males and 87.6% in females.¹⁷ The current study indicated higher prevalence of depression in male medical students compared to females which is contrary to earlier reports.^{9-11,18}

Although prevalence of mild to severe depression was higher in male students, frequency of extremely severe depression was higher in female students. However, this difference was not statistically significant. Lack of initiative or motivation in medical students is directly related to their well-being, academic performance and learning strategies.¹⁹ Motivational strategies employed in medical schools can help reduce depression in students and contribute to their well-being. Peer support programmes are also beneficial in reducing depression and improving mental health in medical students.²⁰ There was no significant difference in severity of depression across different groups of students divided on the basis of residence type, academic levels, social / driving status and nomophobia.

Stress levels were assessed in 127 (55.9%) participants with a lower prevalence in female medical students. Although frequency of stress was higher in male students, most of them had mild to moderate levels of stress, whereas frequency of severe to extremely severe levels was comparatively higher in female students in the current study. A study in Saudi Arabia showed that 'worries regarding exam grades' contributed to stress in medical students.¹² Absence of effective stress coping strategies can further complicate and affect their clinical practice. High prevalence of stress is also reported in Saudi medical graduates or junior doctors during their internship.^{17,21} Stress reduction techniques based on mindfulness are very effective for reducing stress in medical students.²² The idea of 'well-being curriculum' in medical school is also pushed forward, which involves learning on subject matters related to exercise, sleep, problem-solving, capability to manage stress and worrisome thoughts.²³ The current study showed that stress scores were significantly different in groups of students with different residential status ($p < 0.05$) and levels of nomophobia ($p < 0.05$). Stress scores were higher in students residing in rural areas (23.4 ± 7.5) compared to urban areas (16.4 ± 3.77). This can be related to the travelling time required for them to attend classes and complete clinical hours. Stress levels were higher in students with severe nomophobia. This finding is in line

with earlier studies.²⁴ It is also important to consider that students with severe nomophobia display responses of behavioural disengagement in stressful confrontations.²⁵ Anxiety items in DASS-21, related to dryness in mouth and fear of getting panicked, were reported with highest mean scores in male students. Anxiety levels in medical students are reported to be associated with gender, academic stress, internet addiction and self-esteem.^{1,26} In addition to this existing knowledge, the current study showed higher scores of anxiety in medical students with severe nomophobia, whereas the difference in anxiety score with regards to levels of nomophobia was highly significant ($p < 0.05$). Based on the findings, the current study rejected the null hypothesis and accepted the alternative hypothesis.

The current study has a few limitations. It is a single-centre study, and the data collected was through self-reporting questionnaires which have low construct of interest and are considered a product of sociological, psychological, experiential, linguistic and contextual variables.²⁷ Further studies in a longitudinal frame are required to see the effects of specific features of nomophobia on DAS.

Skilled therapists in the wellness centre available for students on the campus site should address cases of nomophobia in collaboration with student advisory units. Cognitive behavioural therapy should also be provided at the wellness centre. Faculty members should be trained to identify traits of nomophobia in their students and direct them to the wellness centre.

Awareness programmes highlighting perils of nomophobia should be organised in medical schools. Students should be provided with the knowledge and the tools to self-diagnose nomophobic tendencies. Mental health wellness campaigns, like 'Digital detox challenge', and 'No phone zone', should be initiated for the students.

Conclusion

There was a high prevalence of DAS in medical students. A large number of respondents had severe anxiety which is directly proportional to severity of nomophobia.

Disclaimer: None.

Conflict of interest: None.

Source of Funding: None.

References

1. Mahroon ZA, Borgan SM, Kamel C, Maddison W, Royston M, Donnellan C. Factors Associated with Depression and Anxiety Symptoms Among Medical Students in Bahrain. *Acad Psychiatry* 2018;42:31-40. doi: 10.1007/s40596-017-0733-1.

2. Dyrbye LN, Wittlin NM, Hardeman RR, Yeazel M, Herrin J, Dovidio JF, et al. A Prognostic Index to Identify the Risk of Developing Depression Symptoms Among U.S. Medical Students Derived From a National, Four-Year Longitudinal Study. *Acad Med* 2019;94:217-26. doi: 10.1097/ACM.0000000000002437.
3. Haglund ME, aan het Rot M, Cooper NS, Nestadt PS, Muller D, Southwick SM, et al. Resilience in the third year of medical school: a prospective study of the associations between stressful events occurring during clinical rotations and student well-being. *Acad Med* 2009;84:258-68. doi: 10.1097/ACM.0b013e31819381b1.
4. Slavin SJ, Schindler DL, Chibnall JT. Medical student mental health 3.0: improving student wellness through curricular changes. *Acad Med* 2014;89:573-7. doi: 10.1097/ACM.0000000000000166.
5. Bragazzi NL, Del Puente G. A proposal for including nomophobia in the new DSM-V. *Psychol Res Behav Manag* 2014;7:155-60. doi: 10.2147/PRBM.S41386.
6. Securenvoy. 66% of the population suffer from Nomophobia the fear of being without their phone. [Online] 2012 [Cited 2019 May 25]. Available from URL: <https://www.securenvoy.com/en-gb/blog/66-population-suffer-nomophobia-fear-being-without-their-phone>
7. Ibrahim N, Al-Kharboush D, El-Khatib L, Al-Habib A, Asali D. Prevalence and Predictors of Anxiety and Depression among Female Medical Students in King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia. *Iran J Public Health* 2013;42:726-36.
8. Kulsoom B, Afsar NA. Stress, anxiety, and depression among medical students in a multiethnic setting. *Neuropsychiatr Dis Treat* 2015;11:1713-22. doi: 10.2147/NDT.S83577.
9. Inam SB. Anxiety and Depression among Students of a Medical College in Saudi Arabia. *Int J Health Sci* 2007;1:295-300.
10. Abdulghani HM, AlKanhah AA, Mahmoud ES, Ponnampereuma GG, Alfari EA. Stress and its effects on medical students: a cross-sectional study at a college of medicine in Saudi Arabia. *J Health Popul Nutr* 2011;29:516-22. doi: 10.3329/jhpn.v29i5.8906.
11. Aboalshamat K, Hou XY, Strodl E. Psychological well-being status among medical and dental students in Makkah, Saudi Arabia: a cross-sectional study. *Med Teach* 2015;37(Suppl 1):s75-81. doi: 10.3109/0142159X.2015.1006612.
12. Gazzaz ZJ, Baig M, Al Alhendi BSM, Al Suliman MMO, Al Alhendi AS, Al-Grad MSH, et al. Perceived stress, reasons for and sources of stress among medical students at Rabigh Medical College, King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia. *BMC Med Educ* 2018;18:29. doi: 10.1186/s12909-018-1133-2.
13. Raosoft. Sample size calculator. [Online] 2004 [Cited 2019 April 04]. Available from URL: www.raosoft.com
14. Lovibond SH, Lovibond PF. *Manual for the depression anxiety stress scales*, 2nd ed. Sydney, Australia: Psychology Foundation of Australia; 1996.
15. Yildirim C, Correia AP. Exploring the dimensions of nomophobia: Development and validation of a self-reported questionnaire. *Comput Hum Behav* 2015;49:130-7. DOI: 10.1016/j.chb.2015.02.059.
16. Hamasha AA, Kareem YM, Alghamdi MS, Algarni MS, Alahedib KS, Alharbi FA. Risk indicators of depression among medical, dental, nursing, pharmacology, and other medical science students in Saudi Arabia. *Int Rev Psychiatry* 2019;31:646-52. doi: 10.1080/09540261.2019.1584095.
17. Alharbi H, Almalki A, Alabdian F, Haddad B. Depression among medical students in Saudi medical colleges: a cross-sectional study. *Adv Med Educ Pract* 2018;9:887-91. doi: 10.2147/AMEP.S182960.
18. Abdulghani HM, Irshad M, Al Zunitan MA, Al Sulihem AA, Al Dehaim MA, Al Esefir WA, et al. Prevalence of stress in junior doctors during their internship training: a cross-sectional study of three Saudi medical colleges' hospitals. *Neuropsychiatr Dis Treat* 2014;10:1879-86. doi: 10.2147/NDT.S68039.
19. Kusrurkar RA, Croiset G, Galindo-Garré F, Ten Cate O. Motivational profiles of medical students: association with study effort, academic performance and exhaustion. *BMC Med Educ* 2013;13:e87. doi: 10.1186/1472-6920-13-87.
20. Moir F, Henning M, Hassed C, Moyes SA, Elley CR. A Peer-Support and Mindfulness Program to Improve the Mental Health of Medical Students. *Teach Learn Med* 2016;28:293-302. doi: 10.1080/10401334.2016.1153475.
21. Abdulghani HM, Al-Harbi MM, Irshad M. Stress and its association with working efficiency of junior doctors during three postgraduate residency training programs. *Neuropsychiatr Dis Treat* 2015;11:3023-9. doi: 10.2147/NDT.S92408.
22. Shapiro SL, Schwartz GE, Bonner G. Effects of mindfulness-based stress reduction on medical and premedical students. *J Behav Med* 1998;21:581-99. doi: 10.1023/a:1018700829825.
23. Moir F, Yelder J, Sanson J, Chen Y. Depression in medical students: current insights. *Adv Med Educ Pract* 2018;9:323-33. doi: 10.2147/AMEP.S137384.
24. Tams S, Legoux R, Léger PM. Smartphone withdrawal creates stress: A moderated mediation model of nomophobia, social threat, and phone withdrawal context. *Comput Hum Behav* 2018;81:1-9. Doi: 10.1016/j.chb.2017.11.026
25. Bragazzi NL, Re TS, Zerbetto R. The Relationship Between Nomophobia and Maladaptive Coping Styles in a Sample of Italian Young Adults: Insights and Implications From a Cross-Sectional Study. *JMIR Ment Health* 2019;6:e13154. doi: 10.2196/13154.
26. Yücens B, Üzer A. The relationship between internet addiction, social anxiety, impulsivity, self-esteem, and depression in a sample of Turkish undergraduate medical students. *Psychiatry Res* 2018;267:313-18. doi: 10.1016/j.psychres.2018.06.033.
27. Razavi T. Self-report measures: An overview of concerns and limitations of questionnaire use in occupational stress research. [Online] 2001 [Cited 2019 May 25]. Available from URL: <https://eprints.soton.ac.uk/35712/1/01-175.pdf>